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March 2001

Can Brandeis fully and meaningfully honor its commitments both to its Jewish roots and diversity? How can we engage with these two imperatives imaginatively and creatively, in ways that enhance the experiences of our students, our faculty and our staff?

These are among the questions raised in this “Call to Conversation” -- an invitation to members of the Brandeis community to listen more deeply to each others’ experiences of majority/minority relationships here at the University.

Members of the Campus Coexistence Project developed this ‘call’ based on stories we shared with other and stories we heard from Brandeis students, faculty and staff. We would be happy to assist any club, residence hall, office or department to plan and facilitate conversations on the issues raised here. Please contact Sara Zenlea at x65001 or szenlea@brandeis.ed to share your reactions to the ‘call’ and to find out how you can get involved.

Brandeis has long been committed to both its Jewish heritage and the embrace of different religions, cultures and ethnic groups. Its history. There are more than 100 cultures now represented among the graduate and undergraduate student population. Yet we hear dissatisfaction among students about the quality of campus life on campus — expressed for instance in responses to surveys. Paradoxically, at a time when Brandeis is probably more diverse than ever, disappointment about diversity and the quality of social interaction can be seen in graduating seniors’ expressions of discontent.

At this very moment, too, the American Jewish community is undergoing one of the more fractious periods in its history. Issues ranging from conversion standards and the role of women in worship to the peace process in the Middle East are heatedly debated. Traditionalist is pitted against modernist, liberal against conservative, denomination against denomination, and secularist against believer. In informal conversations among Jewish students, faculty and staff, the debates currently taking place within the American Jewish community are reflected, not unsurprisingly, in the dialogue and concerns of members of the Brandeis Jewish community as well.

In fact, all of us who live and work in the United States, are living through a period in which the country is undergoing one of the most significant transformation in how the nation sees itself. As many have noted, minority experiences have acted as a powerful force in the creation of America’s self-image. Through the middle of the last century the Jewish minority was the “paradigmatic” minority, defining for other groups what it meant to be a minority in America. In the latter half of the last century, the African-American experience and its responses to segregation set the stage for a debate about race that changed the country’s vision of itself again.

The country’s image of itself as a “melting-pot” reached its nadir in the mid-50’s when it was superceded by a vision of racial integration advocated in the early stages of the civil rights movement. But that vision was replaced, in turn, in the late 1960’s by images of black separatism that laid the ground for a new vision, yet again, based on multi-culturalism. Though it encompassed other minority groups, including women and gays,” as the recent Times article also attests, “blacks gave the multicultural movement its key moral impetus.” But now in the new century Hispanics and Mexican-Americans, in particular, may prompt a redefinition of what it means to be a minority in America. “For instead of simply adding one more color to the multicultural rainbow, Mexican-Americans may help forge a unifying vision. With a history that reveals an
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ability to accept racial and cultural ambiguity; Mexican-Americans could broaden the definition of America unlike any earlier immigrants.” (New York Times, Sunday, February 11, 2001)

So, too, in the world around us, the meaning and significance of religious and ethnic identity continues to undergo change. Amartya Sen, who recently received the Nobel Prize in Economics, spoke during the awards ceremony of his experiences as a teenager growing up in India where people’s identities “as Indians, as Asians, or as members of the human race, seemed to give way - quite suddenly - to sectarian identification with Hindu, Muslim, or Sikh communities. The broadly Indian of January was rapidly and unquestioningly transformed into the narrowly Hindu or finely Muslim of March. The carnage that followed had much to do with

...the more candidly and openly we speak about these questions and the more capable we are of listening to one another without immediate judgment or censure, the more progress we shall make.

Why a Call to Conversation?

This ‘Call to conversation’ is designed to enable members of the Brandeis community to chart a wise course of action for the future. A first step, we believe, is to talk among ourselves, to come to a deeper understanding of the meaning and value of the institution’s two-fold commitment: to its Jewish roots and to diversity. To address these issues fully and satisfactorily will require, or so we believe, the broadest possible participation. Indeed, the university is likely to make better choices and to be stronger if many voices from all segments of the university community speak up and are heard.

It is especially important for different segments of the community to listen openly and hear how others experience these commitments as they are embodied in University policy and the hearts and minds of members of the community. Later steps are to recognize and face the tensions that may arise as a result of efforts to honor both commitments simultaneously and fully, ultimately looking for and finding ways to deal with those tensions with imagination and creativity.

In our own conversations we have come to the conclusion that to make progress in this area we must learn to work together across traditional boundaries; different segments of Brandeis need to reach out to one another as members of a single community. Ultimately, to succeed in any one area, (to succeed, for instance, in the area of majority/minority relations at Brandeis,) will require progress in all areas of the Brandeis community. Real progress, we have come to suspect, can only be made when the community recognizes its challenges as related to one another and addresses them systematically as a whole. So, too, the more candidly and openly we speak about these questions and the more capable we are of listening to one another without immediate judgment or censure, the more progress we shall make.

In this light this project is designed:

• to begin a series of conversations at Brandeis about the current state of relations among members of the community who identify religiously or culturally as Jewish and those who do not.

• to provide information about the opportunities and challenges that lie ahead as well as to assist future community planning and problem-solving.

• to re-examine the meaning of the university’s commitment to its Jewish roots coupled with its commitment to diversity;

• to bring home to members of the Brandeis community and the rest of the world the value of the university’s having made these commitments in the first place;

• to build relationships, during the course of this conversation, across conventional boundaries, divisions and sub-divisions within the university, among generations, ethnic groups, religions, cultures,
By sharing stories we came to appreciate the range and complexity of a problem without feeling that we were under pressure to know all the answers or to be sure, absolutely sure, how best to frame the question. Lasting bridges of learning and understanding.

Each of these efforts contributes in a substantial way to the quality of community life at Brandeis and serves to celebrate Brandeis' religious, ethnic and cultural diversity.

THE CHALLENGE THAT LIES AHEAD

Much has been done and much is being done to make good on the University's commitment to its Jewish roots and diversity. That events and programs like those enumerated above are occurring is important. They are a key component of the Brandeis experience. But the stories we are hearing suggest a need for deeper conversation. Against the backdrop of changing self-perceptions in the nation and the world as well as within the Jewish community itself, new challenges lie ahead.

Several minority students described incidents in which they believed that their professors, their white classmates, and other students of color related to them as less than fully qualified to be at Brandeis.

A Jewish graduate student, sympathetic to the development of more inclusive social spaces on campus, said she hoped, nonetheless, that non-Jewish members of the Brandeis community would understand, in historical and cultural terms, the importance of an institution committed to the development of various strands of Jewish religious and communal life.

A non-Jewish administrator who led a discussion group for first-year students on James McBride’s The Color of Water said she was somewhat startled and surprised by the response of the students to McBride’s portrayal of Ruth’s father, an Orthodox Jewish rabbi in Poland. She was not prepared for the depth and the intensity of the charge of antisemitism that many in her group leveled against McBride for the way he had described the father in the book.

An administrator mentioned that during her nine years as a Brandeis employee, she had never once been invited to a Jewish religious or cultural event. Several Jewish students immediately asked her to join the Purim party, and she, clearly moved by their invitation to join them, thanked them profusely.

Several minority students described incidents in which they believed that their professors, their white classmates, and other students of color related to them as less than fully qualified to be at Brandeis.

The Jewish holidays and on certain days of the week at Brandeis, but that she, for one, would never invite someone who was not Jewish to a Shabbat dinner.

A non-Jewish administrator who led a discussion group for first-year students on James McBride’s The Color of Water said she was somewhat startled and surprised by the response of the students to McBride’s portrayal of Ruth’s father, an Orthodox Jewish rabbi in Poland. She was not prepared for the depth and the intensity of the charge of antisemitism that many in her group leveled against McBride for the way he had described the father in the book.

An administrator mentioned that during her nine years as a Brandeis employee, she had never once been invited to a Jewish religious or cultural event. Several Jewish students immediately asked her to join the Purim party, and she, clearly moved by their invitation to join them, thanked them profusely.
In our conversation among ourselves, we found that the discussion would return, time and again, to questions that coalesced into three areas: there were questions about our understanding of the two commitments, questions about our own personal experiences, and questions about how we imagine the future. We invite you to continue the conversation in search of answers to the following questions:

1. What is your understanding of Brandeis’ commitments to its Jewish roots? What is your understanding of Brandeis’ commitment to diversity? What opportunities, facilities, policies and programs currently on campus reflect, from your perspective, the university’s commitment to each of the two pillars?

We welcome your presence in these conversations and your response to our ‘Call.’ If you would like more information or would like to learn more about opportunities to participate or wish, simply, to share your reactions, please feel free to contact Sara Zenlea at x65001 or brandeis.edu

2. What are your experiences and opinions of Brandeis’ current commitment to the two pillars? In what ways do Brandeis’ programs, and policies, does its campus and social life, provide an intellectual, social and moral environment that is supportive of you as a student, scholar or worker and a person with your personal commitments? In what ways do present programs and policies, does current campus and social life, fall short of your needs and hope?

3. Would you like to see Brandeis’ commitment to the two pillars change? If so, how? How would you like to see the two-fold commitment operate ten years from now? What is your vision for the future?

If you decide to have a conversation or decide to devote a regular session or meeting of your group, club, residence hall, department, program, office or committee to a conversation on the three questions, please let us know so that we may at least make a note that such conversations are taking place. We are also prepared to help plan and facilitate conversations among groups on campus and so (again) please feel free to contact us. We also welcome any and every member of the community to meet with us either as an individual or as part of a small or large group.

In 2001-2002 we shall issue a report to the community based on the conversations that have occurred over the previous months. In this report, we shall do our best to provide a picture of the ways in which the community sees its two-fold commitment and the ways it would like to see that commitment work out in the future. Ideally, the report will serve as a mirror, reflecting back to the community how the community sees itself.

This “Call to Conversation” is intended as part of a larger conversation that will contribute to on-going efforts at Brandeis to strengthen the community and to articulate a vision for our future. It seeks to emphasize what we take to be a dynamic, and potentially rich tension embedded within two of the four pillars that are the foundation of Brandeis University: on-going sponsorship by the American Jewish community, and the University’s commitment to non-sectarianism or, as it is also understood, its commitment to pluralism and diversity.

This document has been put together with the help and support of members of the Leadership Team: Imran Habib Ahma, SID; Carol Barbera, Office of Equal Opportunity; Marco Barreto, ’02; Maureen Fessenden, Human Resources; Ed Garcia, Facilities Services; Sue Kahn, International Research Insitute on Jewish Women; Atilia Klein, Biology; Roxanne Morel, ’01; Keren Rosenbaum, ’02; Michele Rosenthal, Undergraduate Academic Affairs; Andy Shugerman, ’01; Moussa Sow, Romance and Comparative Literature; Eva Stern, ’03; Andreas Teuber, Philosophy; Ghislaine Vode, GSIEF; Barbara Bamberger, Coordinator for AY ’00; Sara Zenlea, Administrative Assistant; Belle Brett, Independent Evaluator; William Thompson, Facilitator; Jane Sapp, Artistic Consultant; and Cynthia Cohen, Director of the Brandeis Initiative in Intercommunal Coexistence at the International Center for Ethics, Justice and Public Life. Special thanks, too, to Dan Terris, Director of the Ethics Center, and Marci McPhee, Assistant Director; and Sarah Chandler, President of Brandeis Hillel.
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