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In 1929, the National Federation of Temple Sisterhoods (NFTS) produced an attractive kiddush card seeking to promote the "Sanctification [Hebrew: Kiddush] of the Sabbath." The traditional symbols of the Sabbath—two glowing candles, a handsome kiddush cup, and a braided challah—adorned the four-page card's cover, surrounded by representations of the twelve tribes of Israel. Inside, the card reproduced, in modified form, the Sabbath eve service for the home found in the back pages of the Reform movement's Union Prayer Book. "The table is given a festive appearance," it instructed. "A wine cup and a loaf of bread for the blessing are set before the head of the household. The ceremony of ushering in the Sabbath is begun by the kindling of the lights, during which a blessing by the wife is silently asked upon the home and the dear ones. The following may be used."

The prayer that followed was the traditional blessing over the Sabbath lights, printed in Hebrew, in transliterated English, and in English translation. This diverged boldly from the text in the Union Prayer Book that had deleted the traditional blessing entirely, replacing it with a meditation. "The lighting of the Sabbath Lights on Friday evening is a lovely ceremonial and should be carried out in every Jewish home," the National Committee on Religion explained in its report to the NFTS Executive Board. A year later, it exulted in the "hearty response" to its initiative—more than 10,500 kiddush cards had been distributed. "To those of us who have memories of kindling the Sabbath Lights," Barbara Goodman, the committee's longtime chair reported with delight, "a revival of this beautiful
Sanctification of the Sabbath

Sabbath Eve Services For the Home

NFTS Kiddush Card

COURTESY AMERICAN JEWISH ARCHIVES
custom means much, and we rejoice in the thought that we, in turn, may be privileged to leave something precious in the minds and hearts of our dear ones."

That NFTS once campaigned to revive women's kindling of Sabbath lights comes as something of a surprise. Early-twentieth-century Reform Jews, we generally assume, focused not on rituals and ceremonies of this sort, but on social justice and philanthropy. Reform Jewish women, according to most accounts, raised funds for their temples, enhanced synagogue aesthetics, promoted the central institutions of the Reform movement, aided Reform religious schools, and helped the needy. There is hardly any account of their working to revitalize Jewish ritual practices. Classical Reform Judaism, after all, attached "low importance" to rituals such as candle lighting. In late-nineteenth-century American Reform homes, President Julian Morgenstern of Hebrew Union College (HUC) recalled, "Jewish ceremonialism was cultivated but little, and was even looked upon somewhat askance as a survival from a rather remote and now completely outgrown age." Rabbi Emil G. Hirsch of Chicago, at that time, viewed religious sentiment and symbolism as distractions from what he and many Reform Jews of his day saw as Judaism's core message: social justice.

How then to explain the kiddush card?

The women of NFTS, to be sure, did care deeply about social justice. But to a far greater degree than has generally been recognized, they also undertook to revitalize the practice of Judaism, the explicit goal being "to quicken the religious consciousness of Israel." That goal, written into the NFTS constitution—probably to distinguish NFTS from the National Council of Jewish Women (NCJW), which by 1913 had abandoned its religious mission—became for two decades the watchword of one of NFTS's most important and energetic standing committees: the National Committee on Religion. Taking upon itself the task of "devising various ways whereby the religious spirit may be deepened," the committee pursued four overlapping goals: (1) to "introduce religious observances into the home," (2) to "encourage Sabbath observance and synagogue attendance," (3) to "stimulate the religious life of the congregation," and (4) to promote adult Jewish education.

The dynamo behind the National Committee on Religion was Barbara Solomon Goodman (1868–1948) of Louisville, usually identified in the minutes, following the practice of the time, as "Mrs. Leon Goodman." Daughter of the wealthy German-born merchant Joseph Solomon (1838–1911), who owned a chain of Kentucky country stores, Goodman was a lifelong member of Louisville's Temple Adath Israel, an active community leader, and the sister of prominent local physician Leon L. Solomon. Her husband, Leon Goodman (1863–1908), was a traveling salesman with Laub Bros. trunk manufacturers and died prematurely in a gruesome railroad accident in Texas, leaving her widowed
at age forty. Before then, in 1903, she served as one of the founders and the first president of Adath Israel's temple sisterhood. Her goal, she explained in her inaugural report to Adath Israel members, was not only to promote sociability, but also to "awaken . . . a love and yearning for things spiritual."

Goodman, like so many Americans of her day, believed that women were "deeply interested in things religious," far more so than men. At Adath Israel, and in churches and synagogues throughout the United States, women predominated among those in attendance. The reason for this, her scholarly rabbi, Hyman G. Enelow, explained, was that "the average man of today is absorbed overmuch in the ordinary toil and business of the world to pay much heed to the pursuits of culture and religion." His solution, like that of so many clergy of the time, was "to employ the greater leisure and richer spiritual propensities natural to woman in the work of human betterment and religious progress." "Today and tomorrow," Enelow confidently predicted, "the Jewess is destined to play anew an important part in the life and development of her religion."

Goodman seems to have internalized these words. Her commitment to her synagogue, which she attended faithfully, combined with her sisterhood experience in Louisville and the freedom and economic independence that came with widowhood, all merged to make her a prime candidate for national leadership. When NFTS was established in 1913 as the umbrella organization for temple sisterhoods, she was selected to preside over its National Committee on Religion.

Deepening Jewish Religious Consciousness

From her first report to the NFTS's biennial, Goodman defined the work of her committee in terms of a single goal: "devising plans in which the sisterhoods can engage for the general purpose of deepening the Jewish religious consciousness." The term "Jewish religious consciousness" was left vague, but it clearly had much in common with what a later generation (with equal vagueness) would call "Jewish identity." As her championing of the kiddush card illustrates, it was the "religious consciousness" of the home that particularly concerned her. Perhaps for this reason, her committee's first great success in a campaign aimed at "Judaizing the homes" ("our most successful single project") was the Jewish art calendar.

Introduced for Rosh Hashanah 5674 (1913), the initial NFTS art calendar consisted "of six sheets of heavy paper, each bearing a copy of some famous picture and each in a border especially drawn for this calendar." Both picture and border illustrated the Jewish holidays that fell during each two-month
period, specifically the “Fall Holidays” (Rosh Hashanah, Yom Kippur and Sukkot), Hanukkah, Purim, Passover, Shavuot, and—somewhat surprisingly, considering Reform Judaism’s discomfort with the day—the Fast of the Ninth of Av (Tisha B’Av). The calendar served, in Goodman’s words, as “an artistic reminder of things Jewish”—most American calendars, after all, did not even list Jewish holidays—and she hoped “to place a calendar in the home of every Sisterhood member.”

That did not initially happen: “The first three [calendars],” NFTS President Carrie Simon admitted, “won slow approval.” Once the calendars became better designed and more aesthetically pleasing, however, sales soared. The fourth calendar (5677/1916) “won instant appreciation on the part of the Jewish community,” and for decades afterward the calendar served as something of an NFTS trademark, a winning combination of aesthetics, education, and Jewish symbolism that came to adorn thousands of Reform Jewish homes while augmenting sisterhood treasuries. The Jewish art calendar, in a sense, served as successor to two traditional Jewish products that most Reform Jewish homes had dispensed with: the doorpost mezuzah, which inscribed a home as Jewish, and the Hebrew lüded, which marked time according to Jewish reckoning, listing Hebrew months, Jewish holidays, the weekly Torah portions, the seasons of the moon, and more. With a Jewish art calendar on the wall, a Reform Jewish home, even in the absence of other symbols, proclaimed itself tastefully yet demonstrably Jewish (much as many a Christian home at that time marked itself through symbols and wall decorations as tastefully yet demonstrably Christian). The calendar was a first step on the road to Goodman’s more audacious goal: to “introduce religious observances into the home.”

In her first report on the work of the National Committee on Religion, Goodman lamented that but nine sisterhoods “report active propaganda for a more widespread home observance of the Jewish holidays.” Undaunted, she urged the NFTS Executive Office to issue “a general communication preceding each holiday explaining the meaning of the holiday and setting forth the ways in which the sisterhood as a body or the individual members might observe the day.” One sisterhood member even produced a “sisterhood prayer,” to be recited prior to each meeting, seeking God’s help “to realize the importance of bringing religion into our homes.”

NFTS president Carrie Simon, the wife of a rabbi, heartily endorsed this goal and lyrically defended it in her 1919 presidential address:

It surely is our duty to make our homes the flaming altars where the fires of faith and hope shall warm the hearts of our children, but there can be no fires aglow unless the Jewess has the sense of duty and the fervor in her own heart, and unless she takes her task seriously.
Four years later, Rabbi Isaac M. Wise's daughter, Jean (Regina), then known as Mrs. Albert J. May, reinforced these efforts, delivering a long paean to Jewish home life at the NFTS convention. In response to "the Jewish problem in the home," she challenged NFTS women to return to the practices of their own mothers and to "make your homes the stronghold of religious 'TRUTH' and your children its banner bearers."45

Children were most likely to deviate from "religious truth" at Hanukkah time, Barbara Goodman feared. A properly instructed child, she explained to the NFTS Executive Board, "will delight in lighting the Hanukkah candles and will not need the Christmas tree to stimulate his understanding."46 Concern about Jews celebrating Christmas was not new; a counter measure described as a "grand revival of the Jewish national holiday of Chanukka" dated back to 1879.47 But the growing commercialization of Christmas heightened fears of assimilation, especially among the children of American-born parents who belonged to Reform congregations. In response, Goodman sent a circular letter in 1921 to every temple sisterhood recalling the meaning of Hanukkah and warning that "this year, Chanukah eve falls at the same time as a widely celebrated non-Jewish holiday which commemorates an event of an entirely different character." She urged members, among other things, to distribute "a Menorah and candles" to each child in the religious school.48 Three years later, with an explicit warning that Christmas is "not a Jewish holiday," she urged women "to kindle the Chanukah candles in your home, to give presents to the children at that time and to make them feel the significance of our own holiday."49 Three years after that, she declared it an "aim" of her committee "to stimulate interest in the observance of Chanukah and to lessen interest in the Christmas festival in Jewish families." To further that aim (and raise much-needed funds), her committee created Hanukkah greeting cards as an alternative to Christmas cards and urged members to exchange them.50 In a report to the National Executive Committee, in 1927, she boasted of success: sisterhoods "responded splendidly" to the Hanukkah cards, selling 11,182 of them, and Hanukkah candles were being lit in more and more homes. (According to a survey of Reform Judaism in large cities conducted in the late 1920s, "Chanukah candles are lit regularly . . . in 40% of our homes."51) "It is," she exclaimed, "with nothing short of delight that the chairman reports the lighting of Chanukah Lights in the homes of many members—women who never dreamed of kindling Chanukah Lights, until the Sisterhoods became active."52

In the same report to the National Executive Committee, Goodman likewise boasted of success in her committee's campaign to revitalize home celebrations of Passover. "Many homes have the Seder service," she declared, "and it is a longed for evening in the household." Back in 1917, the National Committee
on Religion had called for a special edition of the Passover haggadah "with the
object of popularizing the observance of this home festival." The seder, by then,
had fallen out of fashion in many Reform Jewish homes. Julian Morgenstern,
later the president of HUC, recalled that growing up in western Kansas in the
late nineteenth century, he ate matzah on Passover but never once experienced
a seder. "At the Passover immediately preceding my ordination as rabbi," he
sheepishly admitted, "I attended my first Seder." In an effort to bring back the
observance of the Passover seder, many temples organized a congregational
seder, led by the rabbi. But that did not satisfy Goodman. "We must bring back
the beautiful family gatherings," she insisted, "and this Passover celebration is
a golden opportunity." The efforts of her committee increased the popularity
of the home-based family seder, but only marginally so. Even in large U.S. cities
in the late 1920s, a home seder was conducted on Passover in only about one-
third of Reform Jewish homes.

Goodman never tired of speaking out on behalf of home-based rituals, even
ones that had long since been abandoned. One year she heaped praise on a tem-
ple sisterhood where "the old 'Shalach Monas' is being revived to the extent that
food, money and clothing are gathered together [on Purim] for various chari-
ties." Another year, she talked about building sukkot. A third year, she spoke
about the spirit of the Sabbath, admonishing that, for a woman, "sewing on the
Sabbath Day detracts from her dignity and surely does not command respect for
her or for her religion." A fourth year she recommended saying "Grace before
and after the meal." Underlying all of these revivalist efforts was her sense that
Jewish continuity depended on home-based rituals. "The religious inspiration
which we receive in our youth is the basis on which we build for the future," she
wrote in an inspiring circular letter addressed to the chairs of local sisterhood
committees on religion. "If the Jewish mother can create a religious atmosphere
in her home, her child will instinctively be religious." Her friend, NFTS Presi-
dent Stella Freiberg, agreed, quoting approvingly from a revealing poem titled
"The Jewish Woman" by Annette Kohn. The poem underscored not only the
necessity for home-based Judaism, but also the desire to recover some of the
spiritual piety that characterized Jewish women of earlier generations:

I need what the old Jewess had
In her old life however sad,
To make it strong and rich and glad
God and my JEWISH FAITH MUST COME INTO
MY LIFE—INTO MY HOME.

While Freiberg and Goodman hearkened back to traditional rituals to
return the Jewish faith into their homes, other members of NFTS looked to
prayer. Prayer played an important daily role in the home life of many American Christians, they knew, and often their own mothers and grandmothers ("the old Jewess") had likewise recited daily prayers. Books of private Jewish devotions and supplications (Hebrew tehillot; Yiddish tikkines) had appeared in Europe as early as the seventeenth century, chiefly for recitation by women. In 1855 Fanny Neuda published in German an immensely popular volume of Jewish women's prayers titled Stunden der Andacht (Hours of Devotion). Translated into English and published in America in 1866 under the title Hours of Devotion. A Book of Prayers and Meditations for the Use of the Daughters of Israel, During Public Service and at Home, For All Conditions of Woman's Life, it included daily, Sabbath, and festival prayers; prayers for children, for the sick, and for the dead; as well as "prayers for maidens" and "prayers for married women." The book went through at least five editions. Another volume, produced by a man but directed for "our females especially," likewise proved popular. Titled Imre Lev: Meditations and Prayers for Every Situation and Occasion in Life (1864), it billed itself as a prayer book companion, rather than a woman's prayer book, but also included many prayers explicitly written for women, such as a "Prayer for an Unhappy Wife" and "A Mother's Prayer in behalf of her Sick Child."42

Neither of these pious nineteenth-century volumes met the spiritual requirements of enlightened twentieth-century Reform Jewish women, but the need for a woman's prayer book was nevertheless sorely felt.43 So, in 1919, an NFTS committee led by Hattie Wiesenfeld approached the Central Conference of American Rabbis (CCAR) with an "earnest plea" that it "prepare a book containing a series of biblical readings, one for every day of the year, these readings to make for hope, cheer, strength and confidence; these readings always to end with a prayer expressive of the best thought of the synagog liturgy." A committee headed by Wiesenfeld's "dear friend" Rabbi Henry Berkowitz took up this challenge with alacrity. It promised to produce a "book of prayers and meditations"—the same two words that characterized the nineteenth-century volumes—but stipulated "that the book should aim to meet the religious needs of men no less than of women." It may have had in mind the example of Rabbi Gustav Gottheil's Sun and Shield: A Book of Devout Thoughts for Every-Day Use (1896), which was commercially published and aimed at readers of both sexes, as well as non-Jewish readers.44 Whatever the case, Berkowitz soon took ill and abandoned the project. The task was then handed off to a twenty-eight-year-old instructor at HUC named Solomon Freehof, later one of the Reform movement's greatest rabbis and scholars. At the time, he taught liturgy and was writing a doctoral dissertation dealing with "Private Prayers in the Talmud."45
Freehof, deeply engaged in his own teaching and research, might understandably have tarried over his task, but Wiesenfeld, who had become president of NFTS, was determined. "I have written endlessly. I have written more letters about the Prayer Book than about almost anything else in the last two years of my presidency," she confessed. She reported to the Executive Board that "requests and demands for this book increase steadily; our women need it for their own use and we need it to offset growing indifference, and ... infidelity." In the face of such pressure, the CCAR decided in 1922 to publish the material it had on hand—some fifty meditations and prayers—"for the purpose of ascertaining whether or not it will meet the needs of the Sisterhoods." Freehof's committee promised "to go ahead with the preparation of a larger book of the same character" if the first book proved successful.

It did not. Indeed, for a volume commissioned by temple sisterhoods and composed to meet their needs, Blessing and Praise: A Book of Meditations and Prayers for Individual and Home Devotion (1923) was astonishingly tone deaf. Not only did it fail to make any reference whatsoever to the role of NFTS in commissioning and distributing the book, it also made no reference at all to women! It included no prayers written by women and no prayers written explicitly for women. To be sure, the preface characterized the volume as part of the literature of tehillot, but it neither explained the relationship of that literature to women nor did it include the kinds of supplications that made previous tehillot (like Neuda's volume) appealing to them. NFTS still attempted to put a good face on the volume—"Blessing and Praise is primarily a book for home devotion and its chief purpose is to reawaken the service of the heart in the mothers of Israel"—but between the lines the women's disappointment was palpable. "The volume was published at the request of the Sisterhoods," Barbara Goodman wrote morosely, "and I feel that it our duty to dispose of it." Though she promised that the "little book" would "bring comfort and solace to every one who reads it," NFTS women were undeceived. The volume died on the shelf.

In retrospect, the failure of Blessing and Praise illuminates broader tensions between the women of the National Committee on Religion and the all-male Reform rabbinate of the day. The women, in an era before Jewish learning was available to them, knew that they could not produce the volume that they wanted on their own. So they needed to "earnestly plea" with rabbis to produce it, and they then needed to pester them to get the job done. The men, for their part, patronized the women but had no real appreciation of their spiritual needs. They instead pursued their own vision as to how the work should proceed and apparently considered it beneath their dignity to consult with their female sponsors at all; the sisterhoods only saw Blessing and Praise after it was already in print. With so little communication between the men
and the women, their "separate spheres" relationship—men as producers of the book, women as consumers—was foredoomed. As a result, the National Committee on Religion mostly focused on tasks that women could accomplish on their own.

The most important of these tasks, Goodman believed, was to encourage women to come to temple. At Louisville's Adath Israel, she began campaigning as early as 1904 for women to come to the congregation "at least once a week" for "a pleasant and instructive hour at divine service."54 Her very first report of the National Committee on Religion, in 1915, mentioned "the task of increasing the synagogue attendance." Two years later, at her suggestion, NFTS President Carrie Simon urged that "all our energies should be concentrated" on this goal.55 Thirteen years after that, in 1930, when she came to deliver what turned out to be her last report, Goodman was still focused on the same objective, proposing that NFTS adopt the slogan, "Once a week to Temple if humanly possible."54

Along the way, Goodman offered a host of suggestions. In 1916, she lamented that only "a woefully small percentage of young men" belonged to congregations ("due first to the lack of interest and secondly to the unwillingness of the younger men to pay even the minimum rates") and suggested that to encourage their attendance—which, she reasonably believed, would impact on the attendance of young women—congregations should charge the young men only a "very small fee," so as to foster their "manliness and sense of responsibility."56 In 1917, she noted that some sisterhoods took attendance at services and endeavored "to telephone to all absentees and urge upon them a more regular attendance."57 A year later, she urged the "ladies of the Sisterhood" to create "a warm spirit of cordiality" to make their temples more inviting. She encouraged them to set a personal example through "the exchange of 'Gut Shabbes' greetings and pleasant conversation."57 At other times, she promoted a "social hour after Friday evening services" and even "the placing of cards of invitation to divine services in the boxes of strangers at hotels."58 The ever-optimistic Goodman always professed to see progress being made in her lifelong campaign, but in 1929 one delegate to the NFTS biennial was doubtful. "Everything has been offered as an inducement to congregational attendance except these wonderful California prunes," she opined, and questioned whether "artificial rewards" would solve the problem.59

Creation of the Sisterhood Service

Goodman's most daring and significant effort to promote women's synagogue attendance was the "Sisterhood Sabbath," an innovation whose impact proved
far more radical than she could possibly have envisaged. It first found mention in 1916, when Goodman matter-of-factly reported that "one Sisterhood secured the consent of the rabbi and congregation to set aside one Sabbath in the year as 'Sisterhood Sabbath,' and requested a special sermon for that day." On 27 October 1924, she sent out a circular letter announcing that the project had grown into a national sisterhood initiative:

Last year at the Executive Board meeting in Indianapolis the following resolution was passed: It was moved and carried that the National Federation of Temple Sisterhoods recommend to all congregations the advisability of establishing a national Sisterhood Sabbath in November.

It has always seemed to me that every Sabbath should be a Sisterhood Sabbath and that each Sisterhood member should feel it incumbent upon herself to attend services regularly. Temple going is an excellent habit to acquire, and like all good habits, it grows upon one. It was thought, however, that a special Sisterhood Sabbath should be established, and that on that day the members of the Sisterhood should ask for the cooperation of the Rabbi in bringing before the congregation some knowledge of the work and activities of the organization. Furthermore, it was hoped that wherever and whenever possible on this particular day a woman should deliver a message to the congregation.

I already had reports that several of these Sisterhood Sabbaths are being planned. I hope that you will be able to observe this Sabbath in your community and that by its observance you will be able to bring home to the members of your Sisterhood the importance of regular attendance at every Sabbath service. 

While Sisterhood Sabbath began as an attempt to promote synagogue attendance, bring publicity to the work of the sisterhood, and create an opportunity for women to speak before the congregation, it soon took on a life of its own. Within a year, Goodman reported that "in some Temples the women occupy the pulpit during part of the service." Within two years, "in many communities the women conducted the entire service and delivered inspiring messages." Within four years, the service had been renamed "Sisterhood Service" ("inasmuch as it is the aim of the National Committee on Religion to make every Sabbath 'Sisterhood Sabbath'”) and was devoted "to the special place of women in religion and more especially to the place of the Sisterhood in Congregational life." According to Goodman, in 1926, eighty-nine Reform congregations conducted Sisterhood Services; these fell into one of three formats, reflecting a growing dispute in Reform circles as to the appropriate role of women in congregational life:
Sometimes the Rabbi conducts the Service as usual, choosing for the subject of his sermon, some phase of Judaism which appeals directly to the women, or he may speak specifically on the importance of Sisterhood work. In other cases the entire Service is conducted by the Sisterhood members themselves, even to the giving of a sermon. And in still other places, the Sisterhood members read the Service and the Rabbi delivers the sermon.\textsuperscript{64}

The dispute over how women should participate in the Sisterhood Service took place against the background of new roles for women across the United States. In 1920, women's suffrage became the law of the land with the passage of the Nineteenth Amendment to the Constitution. Several Christian churches in the 1920s debated women's ordination and preaching. In 1922, twelve-year-old Judith Kaplan, daughter of Rabbi Mordecai Kaplan, became America's first bat mitzvah. Most important, for Reform Jewish women, HUC in Cincinnati spent two years debating whether it might ordain a student, Martha Neumark (daughter of faculty member David Neumark), as the Reform movement's first woman rabbi. Carrie Simon and other NFTS leaders supported Neumark, but in 1933 HUC's Board of Governors denied her bid, voting that "no change should be made in the present practice of limiting to males the right to matriculate for the purpose of entering the rabbinate."\textsuperscript{65}

In the face of all of these developments, the growth of the Sisterhood Service took on new significance. It not only recalled the important role that women played in Judaism; it also, at least in some congregations, provided annual testimony to women's religious competence, displaying how well they could, on their own, conduct services and deliver sermons. Barbara Goodman herself acknowledged the quiet subversiveness of what she had originally established mainly to encourage women to come to temple on the Sabbath. "The Sisterhood Service in November," she wrote in 1930, "is often a revelation of what the women may do if they ever enter the rabbinate."\textsuperscript{66}

\textit{New Religious Initiatives}

Beyond Sisterhood Services, the National Committee on Religion sought to stimulate the religious life of Reform congregations in myriad ways. Some entailed work that women had engaged in for generations, such as raising funds to defray synagogue expenses, improving and beautifying the synagogue, supplying altar decorations and flowers, caring for cemeteries, arranging entertainments, organizing bazaars, and preparing collations.\textsuperscript{67} In its annual reports, the committee devoted minimal space to these traditional women's activities, perhaps because it took them for granted. At the local level, however,
these forms of "service" constituted the principal religious work of women. It allowed them to contribute in their own distinctive way, and in a "different voice," to the religious life of the synagogue and to the Jewish community as a whole.63

The focus of the National Committee on Religion turned to bolder initiatives, including some that influenced the character of the worship service itself. Most significantly, Goodman urged sisterhoods "to co-operate with the rabbis in introducing congregational singing at the public services."64 Decades earlier, many American synagogues had virtually abandoned communal singing, believing that enlightened Jews sought more solemn, decorous, and awe-inspiring services, on the model of liberal Protestant worship. Favored traditional tunes gave way to choral music, performed by a trained choir for congregants who listened in silence. In the early twentieth century, the call rang out (especially among women) to restore congregational singing so as to counter what had become a passive, performance-oriented worship experience.7 Participatory worship and song, leaders like Goodman believed, would lure Jews back to the synagogue. By 1917, she reported happily that "many sisterhoods" were helping "to familiarize their members with Jewish hymns and traditional melodies."71

Carrie Simon enthusiastically hoped that some of these women would go on to "write new hymns and songs for the Temple Hymnal of the future."72 But Hattie Wiesenfeld, Simon's successor as NFTS president, demurred. She preferred that "traditional music" be sung in the sanctuary, on the argument (well known to Sephardic Jews of an earlier era) that if the same hymns were sung everywhere, "We shall have gone a step further in making Jews feel at home in any and every temple." Even then, she appeared dubious of the chances for success: "We Jews," she groused, "seem to be afraid of the sound of our own voices."73 Goodman, by contrast, fixed her eyes on the goal and refused to give up. Eleven years later, in 1928, she was still speaking out on the issue, calling on congregations to appoint song leaders "who will inspire us and teach us to sing our beautiful Hymns in order that we may become a Singing Congregation—a Singing People."74

Just as Goodman wanted congregants to sing together, so she sought to advance other initiatives aimed at smoothing away differences among congregants, particularly class differences. Like many religious-minded progressives of her day, she sought to democratize the house of worship, blunting the more evident differences between rich and poor. Early in her tenure, she lashed out at ostentatious confirmation parties that, in some circles, had come to resemble high-society debutante balls. "Let us insist on simplicity in everything pertaining to the day," she declared.75 Urging women to eschew fancy home receptions, glamorous clothing, and elaborate gift-giving in honor of
their children’s confirmation, she called for less conspicuous consumption and more “spiritual responsibility.” Confirmation, she felt, should be a “democratic institution” with all receptions held in the temple.29 Fearing renewed extravagance during the Roaring Twenties, she reminded women as late as 1927 “to encourage confirmation and to discourage the promiscuous gift-giving and extravagant dress of former days.”30

In a similar bid to “bring democracy into our Synagogue,” Goodman came to advocate free, unassigned pews. Until the twentieth century, most American synagogues (as well as churches) had sold, rented or assigned pews to their members; the wealthiest members tended to get the best seats. Progressive rabbis, influenced by the tenets of prophetic Judaism (parallel to the Protestant Social Gospel) as well as the Progressive Movement in American politics, came down in opposition to this system. “In God’s house,” Rabbi Leo Franklin of Temple Beth El in Detroit proclaimed in 1904, “all must be equal. There must be no aristocracy and no snobocracy.”31 Fifteen years later, the National Committee on Religion added its weight to this cause, demanding the “Free Pew in Every Synagogue.” For “far too long,” Goodman confessed, “have we encouraged the rich man’s front row and the poor man’s corner.” She urged sisterhoods to continue to spread the message of synagogue democracy throughout the country “till the designation ‘Free Pew’ is taken for granted.” Within a generation, thanks in part to these efforts, that battle was won. Free pews became normative in American synagogues, except on the High Holidays.32

**Strengthening Women’s Role in the Synagogue**

Gender equality, of course, took longer to achieve, but in its own way the National Committee on Religion also worked to advance women within the world of the Reform synagogue. In 1919, for example, it urged sisterhoods to conduct summer services in the synagogue while the rabbi was away on vacation. “No letter has ever been set out by the Committee on Religion that brought as many responses to the Chairman,” Goodman disclosed. She credited the “lively interest” that these women-led services created for stimulating “a very large summer attendance.” But she likely understood that these summer services, like the Sisterhood Sabbath that she had pioneered, also expanded the possibilities open to women and paved the way for more.33

Indeed, the National Committee on Religion took pride in the advances made by Reform Jewish women throughout the 1920s. It noted, in 1922, that in some communities men had accorded women “representation on the Temple Board, an innovation in women’s religious duties.”34 It cheered year by year
as those numbers increased. In 1925, Goodman told the story of how “on an unusually bad day,” one of the male trustees honored with a seat on the pulpit during the reading of the Torah did not turn up. “A woman member of the Board, who was in the congregation, was asked to take his place...,” she revealed, “and those who did not know the circumstances, took the incident as a matter of course.” That same year, she disclosed the “radical” news that four women participated in the Torah service on Yom Kippur at Rockdale Temple in Cincinnati (“a most inspiring innovation on this most holy of days”).59 Five years after that, also at Rockdale, two women “read portions of the Haptohorah [sic]... on Yom Kippur.”60 Goodman took a great deal of satisfaction in chronicling these advances, even if, in retrospect, she exaggerated their significance. “From her seat in the gallery where [a] woman was permitted to watch the men participate in the service, but not to take an active part,” she gushed on one occasion, “she has found her place in all the activities of the synagogue.”

Occasionally, as time went on, those activities came to include formal study. Promotion of adult Jewish education formed part of the mandate of the National Committee on Religion, but for years it was not a priority. “We have done nothing in the creation of Study Circles,” Carrie Simon admitted in 1917.61 “It is true that some of the Sisterhoods invite their rabbis to conduct classes for them, and in other Sisterhoods the rabbis give monthly talks on Jewish current themes. But in addition to this,” she exhorted, “we ought to emphasize the value of smaller groups of women meeting for study among themselves.” That, by and large, did not happen, nor did most sisterhoods take advantage of the lecture bureau that NFTS established. Perhaps, as Simon suggested, the problem lay with the National Committee on Religion, which already shouldered “too heavy a burden.” More likely, though, the women themselves were uninterested in formal study. The National Council of Jewish Women, as we have seen in Pamela Nadell’s article in this volume, had already shifted its focus away from Jewish education. Meanwhile, those women most focused on improving their Jewish education more likely joined Hadassah.

Bible classes nevertheless became a common sisterhood activity as the years went on, especially following the publication of the Jewish Publication Society’s new translation of the Bible in 1917. As a result, the National Committee on Religion recommended that NFTS conduct “an active campaign in the interest of Bible Study on the part of its members.”62 Rabbis agreed to teach the Bible classes, and some were held at night so that men and women might participate together. By 1922, Goodman was “looking forward to the day when the Jews will not only be known as the ‘People of the Book’ but the ‘People who know and love the Book.’”63 Yet, while over one hundred sisterhoods (out of more than three hundred) reported the organization of Bible classes in 1924,
only fifty-six of those classes still met two years later. Goodman soldiered on, trumpeting the slogan "a Bible class for every Sisterhood." She urged men and women alike to "value Adult Education in Judaism, in order to prepare ourselves for the education of our children." Likely influenced by the Reform movement's new director of education, Emanuel Gamoran, she even called for the "Study of Hebrew." But time and again, success eluded her. "All the Bible study classes are very poorly attended," an NFTS leader disclosed in 1929. "The rabbis can't seem to get the women to come." Goodman herself confessed that some women were "discouraged with their efforts" and exhorted them toward "a renewal of interest."

NFTS Reinterprets its Religious Mission

In 1930, at age 64, Goodman penned her last report as chair of the National Committee on Religion. She had held the position "ever since the National Federation of Temple Sisterhoods came into existence," and it was time to make way for a younger generation. A few months later, at the ninth biennial, which she missed, "the cause and the cure of the laxity of our youth in religion" was a major theme. One of the participants, to the consternation of her peers, questioned an assumption that had long been central to the National Committee on Religion: Why, she wondered, should a woman be barred from the NFTS Executive Board just "because she does not happen to be interested in the religious services which are going on at her particular temple"? Another dissident chided in that "one of the reasons why a lot of people, whether married or unmarried, don't come to temple is because the synagogue is not concerning itself sufficiently with the very vital thing[s] of today."

The National Committee on Religion, now headed by Mabel Cohn Hartman of Nashville, pointed out, as if in reply, that its work closely adhered to the original objective set forth in the NFTS constitution: "To quicken the religious consciousness of Israel, by stimulating spiritual and educational activity." But, in 1933, with the country in economic collapse and NFTS under the management of a forty-four-year-old president, Martha Levy Steinfield, and a twenty-six-year-old executive director, Jane Evans, change was in the air. As part of a wide-ranging reorganization of NFTS, Steinfield recommended the merger of the National Committee on Religion with the National Committee on Sisterhood Extension.

A rare floor fight saw some women seek to thwart that merger, apparently fearing that the work of organizing new sisterhoods would divert attention from the National Committee on Religion's extensive previous agenda. But
the merger came to pass. Subsequently, just as opponents had feared, the new committee focused almost entirely on the necessary work of signing up new affiliates.97 Innovative efforts to promote home-based rituals and meaningful personal prayers, exhortations to observe the Sabbath and to “come to temple once a week if humanly possible,” campaigns to revive communal singing and to promote synagogue democracy, proudest accounts of women’s achievement in the religious sphere, details concerning Bible study classes, and, of course, announcements about the kiddush card—all immediately vanished from the committee’s annual reports. This is not to say that local chapters likewise abandoned these activities. Sisterhood Services, in particular, remained popular. But at the national level, NFTS reinterpreted its religious mission to focus less on religious ritual and going to temple, and more on social justice and the “vital things of today.”

Even as it ended, however, the National Committee on Religion’s twenty-year effort “to quicken the religious consciousness of Israel” remains significant, for it reflects an oft-overlooked revivalist strand in early-twentieth-century Reform Judaism that ran counter to the dominant tendencies of Reform Judaism of that time. Women like Barbara Goodman—children of central European immigrants seeking to recover elements of Jewish life that they or their parents had previously discarded—came to believe that the future of the Jewish religion rested on their own shoulders. They worked tirelessly—viewing themselves as (and on at least one occasion calling themselves) “missionaries”—to re-Judaize homes, repopulate synagogues, and rekindle Jewish spirituality. Many of their initiatives failed; some were downright quixotic. But some of them—such as the Jewish art calendar, Friday-night candle lighting, the revitalization of Hanukkah lights, the revival of the Passover seder, the renewed focus on synagogue singing, the creation of the Sisterhood Service, and the celebration of women’s achievement in the religious realm—achieved long-lasting success. Moreover, the very fact that NFTS maintained a National Committee on Religion made a statement concerning the importance of religion to women and Reform Jews. That statement, internalized by daughters and granddaughters, would ultimately transform Reform Jewish life.
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