
As many as one million New York Jews connected at some time in their lives with landshafftn, associations of immigrants from the same hometown. Providing fraternal ties and mutual assistance, these organizations served as "mediating structures." They helped immigrant Jews both to define themselves and
to make the difficult transition from Old World to New.

Until now, the major English-language source on Jewish landsmanshaftn has been Michael R. Weiss's A Brotherhood of Memory: Jewish Landsmanshaftn in the New World (1985), a semi-popular work based on what the author described as a "unique methodology" that he dubbed "bubbe mayse history... a mixture of facts, anecdotes, fairy tales, fables, innuendos, truths, half-truths, memories, experiences, and even some outright lies." Now at long last, Daniel Soyer, in a volume awarded the coveted Thomas J. Wilson Prize, provides us with a far richer and more carefully nuanced study of this phenomenon. Firmly grounded in the sources—Yiddish, Hebrew, English, and German—this volume makes a major contribution both to American Jewish history and to the general study of immigrant institutions.

Soyer properly begins his study in the Old World. Eschewing facile comparisons, he carefully traces both similarities and critical differences between traditional Jewish communal associations (hevrot) and immigrant landsmanshaftn, showing how the latter were both more democratic and more focused on mutual aid (as opposed to charity) than were their Old World counterparts. In general, he concludes, "Jewish landsmanshaftn reflected the influences of the surrounding culture more clearly than they mirrored Jewish communal traditions... in Eastern Europe." Soyer's discussion of the American-style rituals and symbolism that the societies adopted is particularly illuminating. Male bonding and emulation of the Masons and the Elks explains some of this ceremonialism, but what immigrants found even more important, he shows, was the opportunity to reconcile lingering loyalties to Old World traditions with the desire to integrate fully into American life.

Soyer categorizes landsmanshaftn into four different types: (1) religious congregations, (2) fraternal lodges, (3) ideological orders (such as the Workmen's Circle), and (4) independent societies. They thus reflected political, religious, and cultural divisions within the immigrant Jewish community. Yet, through mutual aid, all alike promoted independence, dignity, and self-reliance, and through common social events (balls, picnics, theater benefits, etc.) they also signaled to the world "that the immigrants were coping successfully in their new environment."

Soyer is unreservedly sympathetic to the landsmanshaftn. He defends them against charges that they were conservative, insular, and antilabor, explains away their financial lapses and occasional mistreatments of members, and exults in their "heroic" activities during and after World War I, when they worked to relieve the suffering of their landslasyt back in Europe. The importance of his story, however, lies less in these judgments than in his appreciation for the larger significance of his subject. The history of the landsmanshaftn, he understands, is the story of East European Jewish immigration writ small. As opposed to those who still see immigrants as passive victims of "Americanization," he demonstrates that they "exercised a high degree of agency in their growing identification with American society."
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