

PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT



READER'S REPORT ON SENIOR HONORS THESIS

To be used by Major Advisors, Second Readers, and
Readers outside the Department.

Author of Thesis: _____

Title of Thesis: _____

Major Advisor: _____

- For Major Advisor only:
Grade in Psych 99, Senior Research (if taken) _____
- For Major Advisors and Second Readers in the Department Only:
Recommended Level of Honors (no honors, honors, high, highest) _____
- Ratings of Quality of Honors Thesis:
Quality of writing of necessity will enter into each of the ratings below. Select the appropriate level for each category.

<u>Category</u>	<u>Scale Rating</u>						
1. Literature review	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>
	(excellent)			(adequate)		(poor)	
2. Conceptualization of problem	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>
3. Appropriateness of design and methodology	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>
4. Quality of data analysis	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>
5. Discussion of results and implications	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>

Comments on the thesis with respect to each of the five categories above (or equivalent) should be typed on the reverse side of this page.

Advisor or Reader (signature)

Date