

Understanding the Jewish Identity and Experiences of Russian-Speaking
Young Adults: A Study of the Taglit-Birthright Israel Generation

Technical Appendices

Michelle Shain, Benjamin Phillips, Leonard Saxe

COHEN CENTER FOR MODERN JEWISH STUDIES

Brandeis University

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Appendix A: Methodology	3
The Pretrip Survey	3
Sample	3
Survey Administration	3
The Posttrip Survey	4
Sample	4
Survey Administration	4
Data Analysis.....	6
Appendix B: Regression Models	7
Differential Impact of the Trip Experience on Jewish Identity.....	13
Differential Impact of the Trip Experience on Jewish Engagement.....	21

APPENDIX A: METHODOLOGY

Information below refers to the summer '08 trips. For summer '07 and winter '07-'08 methodology, please see previous report: *Taglit-Birthright Israel Evaluation: 2007-2008 North American Cohorts*.

The Pretrip Survey

Sample

During the registration period for the summer 2008 trip more than 45,000 applicants started the registration process. As of the day survey invitations were sent out (March 17, 2008), nearly 38,000 were considered to be eligible applicants. All applicants who applied and registered by March 17 and were still considered eligible on that day (N=37,983) were invited to participate in an online pretrip survey about their background, views, and expectations of the trip.

Survey Administration

Invitations and Reminders. Initial invitations to participate in the survey were sent on March 17, 2008. Those who did not complete the survey were sent up to three reminders on March 25, April 3, and March 9.

There were few (less than 100) explicit refusals to complete the survey. Only two percent of those who clicked on the survey link did not complete the survey (logged on, no response), or started the survey but only answered a few questions (break-off).

The survey was closed on April 28th, 2008.

Response Rates

Overall response rate to the survey was 55.9% percent (AAPOR RR2).

Table A1. Pretrip Survey Response Rates

No response	15,215	40.0%
Refused	47	.001%
Logged on – No Response	616	4.05%
Break-off	879	2.31%
Partial	864	2.27%
Complete	20,361	53.60%
Total	37,982	100%
RR1 (Completes)	20,361	53.60%
RR2 (Completes & Partials)	21,225	55.88%

The Posttrip Survey

Sample

All eligible applicants who were invited to participate in the pretrip survey were considered for the posttrip sample. Three groups were excluded from the sample:

- Applicants to the summer 2008 trip who also applied to (and were eligible for) the winter 2008-09 trips. The evaluation plan calls for the surveying of eligible applicants both before and after the trip. The initial survey is sent out immediately after registration, and the posttrip survey is sent approximately three months after the trips end. Those who did not go on summer 2008 trip and subsequently applied to winter 2008-09 trips were not sent posttrip surveys, but were instead sent pretrip surveys for the winter 2008-09. These re-applicants will be surveyed for a posttrip survey after they return from the summer trips or if they do not go on the summer trip and do not apply again. (N=2,117)
- Determined to be ineligible. A small number of those who were sent the pretrip survey were later determined to be ineligible for Taglit-Birthright Israel and were thus not sent the posttrip survey (N=410).

In addition, the sample included 1,831 individuals who applied to go on the summer 2008 who applied after the target population for the pretrip survey was derived. These individuals then did not receive a pretrip survey, but were eligible applicants, and many actually ended up going on the trip, so they were all sent posttrip surveys

The final sample for the posttrip survey included 37,286 persons.

Survey Administration

Invitations and reminders. The initial invitation to participate in the survey was sent on October 16, 2008.

Three email reminders to complete the survey were sent. In addition, in an attempt to increase response rates, a thank you note was sent to all participants who completed the survey. Participants were asked to contact their friends from the trip and encourage them to complete the survey. Reminders and invitations were personalized to refer to respondents' name, university, and trip organizer (for participants).

Phone Follow-up. A subgroup of non-respondents to the posttrip survey was selected for intensive phone follow.

4,930 participants were on buses that were administered surveys during the trip by *Moach 10*. All those on such buses who had not completed a posttrip survey as of November 8, 2008 (3,620) were included in the phone sample.

Also included in the phone sample were:

- 1500 randomly selected non-participants who had completed the pretrip survey
- 1500 randomly selected non-participants who had not completed the pretrip survey

Callers were Brandeis University students, many of whom were Taglit-Birthright Israel alumni. Callers were trained to use the automated calling system and convert reluctant sample members. Respondents were called up to three different times for a total of 13,000 calls between November 9-20. Close to 5,000 email invitations were re-sent after a phone conversation or after a message was left on a voice mail. A week after calls were made, a final reminder was sent to all those who had still not responded to the survey, regardless of whether they had been called or not.

Refusals

There were 325 explicit refusals to participate in the posttrip survey. Most of the refusals were from persons requesting to be removed from the email list. Other reasons for refusal included no time, disinterest in helping Taglit-Birthright Israel, and belief that they had already completed the survey.

Table A2. Posttrip Survey Response Rates

	Total
Sent Pretrip	37,982
Applied after pretrip sample drawn	1,831
(Refused Pre trip)	47
(Applied to 18 Pre)	2,117
(Now Ineligible)	410
Total Sent post trip	37,286
No response	24,973
Refused	325
Logged on – No Response	366
Break-off	409
Partial	160
Complete	11,053
Total	37,286
RR1 (Completes)	29.6%
RR2 (Completes & Partials)	30.1%

Response Rate

Overall response rate to the survey was 27.5%. Response rate for participants was significantly higher at 51.2%.

Data Analysis

Registration data was used to compare respondents to non-respondents in terms of age, gender, country of origin, and Jewish affiliation. The difference between participants and nonparticipants was small, although weights were calculated nonetheless to account for any bias due to nonresponse. Different weights were calculated for pretrip, posttrip, and pre and posttrip analyses.

APPENDIX B: REGRESSION MODELS

Table B1: Ordered Logistic Regression of Trip Felt Like a Fun Vacation

Number of strata	=	1	Number of obs	=	15265
Number of PSUs	=	15265	Population size	=	52969.352
			Subpop. no. of obs	=	10826
			Subpop. size	=	27808.248
			Design df	=	15264
			F(11, 15254)	=	154.90
			Prob > F	=	0.0000

	Odds Ratio	Linearized Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
potrpvacat						
prexpvacat	2.385639	.0828429	25.04	0.000	2.22866	2.553675
fsu1	.6460376	.1636443	-1.72	0.085	.3932126	1.061422
fsu2	.9541614	.1685486	-0.27	0.791	.6749145	1.348947
fsu3	1.305968	.1144364	3.05	0.002	1.099865	1.550692
age	.918067	.1640657	-0.48	0.632	.6467642	1.303175
agesq	1.000479	.0039494	0.12	0.903	.9927677	1.00825
male	1.154438	.0532666	3.11	0.002	1.054611	1.263714
bussocenv	2.397977	.0815522	25.72	0.000	2.243336	2.563277
busmifgash	1.291285	.0576785	5.72	0.000	1.183036	1.409439
buslearn	1.3756	.0690569	6.35	0.000	1.246687	1.517844
refrecon	.8591915	.0415183	-3.14	0.002	.7815459	.944551
/cut1	2.001855	2.018281	0.99	0.321	-1.954217	5.957926
/cut2	4.122763	2.016758	2.04	0.041	.1696771	8.075849
/cut3	5.989269	2.017319	2.97	0.003	2.035082	9.943456

Table B2: Ordered Logistic Regression of Trip Felt Like a Religious Pilgrimage

Number of strata	=	1	Number of obs	=	15172
Number of PSUs	=	15172	Population size	=	52727.4
			Subpop. no. of obs	=	10733
			Subpop. size	=	27566.297
			Design df	=	15171
			F(12, 15160)	=	244.08
			Prob > F	=	0.0000

	Odds Ratio	Linearized Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
potrprlpilg						
prexprlpilg	2.60224	.0634756	39.21	0.000	2.480748	2.729682
fsu1	.816551	.2171203	-0.76	0.446	.4848769	1.375103
fsu2	1.389093	.2347944	1.94	0.052	.9973414	1.934724
fsu3	.6895284	.0594481	-4.31	0.000	.582317	.8164787
tohillel	1.194322	.0672599	3.15	0.002	1.0695	1.333711
toezra	1.551618	.275515	2.47	0.013	1.09554	2.197564
tomayanot	1.743803	.1435075	6.76	0.000	1.484027	2.049054
bussocenv	1.371004	.0450434	9.60	0.000	1.285496	1.462199
busguide	.9095291	.0427415	-2.02	0.044	.8294933	.9972874
busmifgash	1.240446	.0533253	5.01	0.000	1.140204	1.3495
buslearn	2.451512	.1203961	18.26	0.000	2.226523	2.699235
seccultjust	.6548813	.0279682	-9.91	0.000	.6022921	.7120624
/cut1	4.892452	.2067416	23.66	0.000	4.487214	5.29769
/cut2	6.606925	.2113411	31.26	0.000	6.192671	7.021179
/cut3	8.265113	.2166764	38.14	0.000	7.840401	8.689825

Table B3: Ordered Logistic Regression of Trip Felt Like a Disappointment

Number of strata	=	1	Number of obs	=	21579
Number of PSUs	=	21579	Population size	=	64684.367
			Subpop. no. of obs	=	17140
			Subpop. size	=	39523.263
			Design df	=	21578
			F(13, 21566)	=	159.89
			Prob > F	=	0.0000

	Odds Ratio	Linearized Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fsu1	1.783579	.4879598	2.11	0.034	1.043287	3.049166
fsu2	1.083171	.2337866	0.37	0.711	.7095246	1.653586
fsu3	.7498678	.0850058	-2.54	0.011	.6004629	.9364472
tocanisrexp	.5336993	.0675668	-4.96	0.000	.4164163	.6840148
tomayanot	.6261758	.0819573	-3.58	0.000	.4844847	.8093054
bussocenv	.2405863	.0098601	-34.76	0.000	.2220158	.2607102
busguide	.4612061	.0291262	-12.25	0.000	.4075086	.5219793
busmifgash	.7301618	.0410837	-5.59	0.000	.6539164	.8152974
buslearn	.4040563	.0256833	-14.26	0.000	.3567248	.4576679
seccultjust	.6530813	.0888389	-3.13	0.002	.500232	.8526347
refrecon	.7085816	.098737	-2.47	0.013	.5392286	.9311226
cons	.5745663	.0835936	-3.81	0.000	.4320081	.7641671
ortho	.4890886	.1162999	-3.01	0.003	.3068808	.7794807
/cut1	-9.46002	.3060131	-30.91	0.000	-10.05983	-8.860212
/cut2	-7.811233	.2935123	-26.61	0.000	-8.386539	-7.235927
/cut3	-6.4122	.2834223	-22.62	0.000	-6.967729	-5.856671

Table B4: Logistic Regressions of Strongly Agree: "I Think about Israel as a Future Home" by FSU Connection

Number of strata = 1
 Number of PSUs = 23198
 Number of obs = 23198
 Population size = 68482.541
 Design df = 23197

	Coef.	Linearized Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

x0						
prisrfutho~r	2.277916	.0497961	45.74	0.000	2.180312	2.375519
landed	1.091029	.0511284	21.34	0.000	.9908142	1.191244
_cons	-1.735193	.0492842	-35.21	0.000	-1.831793	-1.638592

x1						
prisrfutho~r	1.806263	.4457907	4.05	0.000	.9324841	2.680043
landed	.5792756	.5407801	1.07	0.284	-.4806892	1.63924
_cons	-1.027478	.544916	-1.89	0.059	-2.09555	.0405931

x2						
prisrfutho~r	2.316998	.3100428	7.47	0.000	1.709293	2.924702
landed	.8646124	.3463111	2.50	0.013	.1858198	1.543405
_cons	-1.491294	.3304458	-4.51	0.000	-2.138989	-.8435979

x3						
prisrfutho~r	2.054332	.1376349	14.93	0.000	1.784558	2.324105
landed	.5882762	.1645844	3.57	0.000	.2656799	.9108725
_cons	-1.314424	.1614285	-8.14	0.000	-1.630835	-.9980137

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x3]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 8.51
 Prob > F = 0.0035

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x2]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 0.42
 Prob > F = 0.5178

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x1]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 0.89
 Prob > F = 0.3461

Table B5: Linear regression of Mean Score on Quality of Bus Community Index

Number of strata	=	1	Number of obs	=	21765
Number of PSUs	=	21765	Population size	=	65104.352
			Subpop. no. of obs	=	17326
			Subpop. size	=	39943.249
			Design df	=	21764
			F(12, 21753)	=	444.51
			Prob > F	=	0.0000
			R-squared	=	0.3076

	Coef.	Linearized Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
bussocenv						
fsu1	-.0527341	.0522592	-1.01	0.313	-.155166	.0496978
fsu2	.0128077	.0360268	0.36	0.722	-.0578075	.0834228
fsu3	-.0373577	.0190897	-1.96	0.050	-.0747749	.0000595
age	-.1192378	.0386561	-3.08	0.002	-.1950066	-.0434689
agesq	.002401	.0008586	2.80	0.005	.0007181	.004084
male	.1350387	.0099993	13.50	0.000	.1154394	.154638
busguide	.1848421	.0122226	15.12	0.000	.1608848	.2087993
busmifgash	.2523622	.0114159	22.11	0.000	.2299862	.2747382
buslearn	.2450635	.0127704	19.19	0.000	.2200326	.2700944
bussocenv~n	.8713876	.0200935	43.37	0.000	.8320028	.9107723
refrecon	.0487837	.0106988	4.56	0.000	.0278134	.0697541
ortho	.0724293	.0288862	2.51	0.012	.0158102	.1290484
_cons	-.5011011	.4360689	-1.15	0.251	-1.355828	.3536258

Table B6: Linear regression of Mean Score on Quality of Tour Guide Index

Number of strata	=	1	Number of obs	=	22444
Number of PSUs	=	22444	Population size	=	66960.503
			Subpop. no. of obs	=	18005
			Subpop. size	=	41799.4
			Design df	=	22443
			F(8, 22436)	=	778.82
			Prob > F	=	0.0000
			R-squared	=	0.3463

	Coef.	Linearized Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
busguide						
fsu1	-.0156665	.0384927	-0.41	0.684	-.0911149	.0597819
fsu2	.0271469	.0236895	1.15	0.252	-.0192861	.07358
fsu3	.0357195	.011044	3.23	0.001	.0140725	.0573665
male	-.0331978	.0065087	-5.10	0.000	-.0459552	-.0204404
bussocenv	.0978155	.0056241	17.39	0.000	.0867918	.1088392
busmifgash	.0692523	.0072338	9.57	0.000	.0550736	.083431
buslearn	.1393057	.0080662	17.27	0.000	.1234953	.155116
busguidemean	.9178298	.014066	65.25	0.000	.8902596	.9454
_cons	-.7535096	.0538861	-13.98	0.000	-.8591301	-.647889

Table B7: Linear regression of Mean Score on Quality of Mifgash Index

Number of strata	=	1	Number of obs	=	21765
Number of PSUs	=	21765	Population size	=	65104.352
			Subpop. no. of obs	=	17326
			Subpop. size	=	39943.249
			Design df	=	21764
			F(12, 21753)	=	276.15
			Prob > F	=	0.0000
			R-squared	=	0.2339

busmifgash	Coef.	Linearized Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fsu1	-.1251431	.0561639	-2.23	0.026	-.2352285	-.0150577
fsu2	-.1162208	.0354765	-3.28	0.001	-.1857574	-.0466843
fsu3	-.1029656	.0155502	-6.62	0.000	-.1334451	-.072486
age	.0145234	.0325485	0.45	0.655	-.049274	.0783209
agesq	-.0005356	.0007223	-0.74	0.458	-.0019514	.0008802
tooranim	.0328069	.0094089	3.49	0.000	.0143648	.051249
toshorashim	.2029746	.0159485	12.73	0.000	.1717145	.2342348
bussocenv	.1678617	.0074037	22.67	0.000	.15335	.1823734
busguide	.0746706	.0104721	7.13	0.000	.0541445	.0951968
buslearn	.3448303	.0100142	34.43	0.000	.3252018	.3644588
refrecon	.0580043	.0097289	5.96	0.000	.038935	.0770737
cons	.0459896	.0110821	4.15	0.000	.0242679	.0677112
_cons	1.357866	.3663009	3.71	0.000	.6398895	2.075842

Table B8: Linear regression of Mean Score on Quality of Learning Experience Index

Number of strata	=	1	Number of obs	=	21765
Number of PSUs	=	21765	Population size	=	65104.352
			Subpop. no. of obs	=	17326
			Subpop. size	=	39943.249
			Design df	=	21764
			F(11, 21754)	=	431.89
			Prob > F	=	0.0000
			R-squared	=	0.3077

buslearn	Coef.	Linearized Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fsu1	.0760739	.0434877	1.75	0.080	-.0091651	.1613129
fsu2	.1040805	.0271749	3.83	0.000	.0508159	.1573452
fsu3	.0901645	.0135364	6.66	0.000	.0636322	.1166967
male	-.0404771	.0073885	-5.48	0.000	-.0549592	-.0259951
toshorashim	-.0653073	.0156251	-4.18	0.000	-.0959336	-.034681
bussocenv	.1242439	.0065086	19.09	0.000	.1114866	.1370011
busguide	.1474013	.009045	16.30	0.000	.1296724	.1651302
busmifgash	.257132	.008081	31.82	0.000	.2412927	.2729713
buslearnmean	.739795	.0218153	33.91	0.000	.6970354	.7825547
refrecon	.0431225	.0084905	5.08	0.000	.0264804	.0597645
cons	.0352153	.0095771	3.68	0.000	.0164434	.0539872
_cons	-.9274799	.0770809	-12.03	0.000	-1.078564	-.7763956

Differential Impact of the Trip Experience on Jewish Identity

Table B9: Logistic regression of Being Jewish "Very Much" Means Being a Member of a Lifestyle by FSU Connection

Number of strata = 1
 Number of PSUs = 23198
 Number of obs = 23198
 Population size = 68482.541
 Design df = 23197

	Coef.	Linearized Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

x0						
prjewlifer	1.678863	.0556329	30.18	0.000	1.569819	1.787907
landed	.3756317	.0696283	5.39	0.000	.2391555	.5121079
_cons	-1.052973	.0692278	-15.21	0.000	-1.188664	-.9172815

x1						
prjewlifer	2.176737	.5262482	4.14	0.000	1.145256	3.208219
landed	-.2818599	.7457888	-0.38	0.705	-1.743655	1.179936
_cons	-.5420689	.7389359	-0.73	0.463	-1.990432	.9062944

x2						
prjewlifer	1.888386	.372055	5.08	0.000	1.159134	2.617639
landed	-.6471842	.4990463	-1.30	0.195	-1.625348	.3309796
_cons	.0917646	.4705999	0.19	0.845	-.8306425	1.014172

x3						
prjewlifer	1.525333	.1777124	8.58	0.000	1.177005	1.873661
landed	.4680173	.2392232	1.96	0.050	-.000876	.9369105
_cons	-1.26817	.2351336	-5.39	0.000	-1.729048	-.8072928

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x3]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 0.14
 Prob > F = 0.7108

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x2]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 4.12
 Prob > F = 0.0424

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x1]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 0.77
 Prob > F = 0.3801

Table B10: Logistic regression of Being Jewish "Very Much" Means Being a Member of a Religious Group by FSU Connection

Number of strata = 1
 Number of PSUs = 23198
 Number of obs = 23198
 Population size = 68482.541
 Design df = 23197

	Coef.	Linearized Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

x0						
prjewrelr	1.650941	.0550094	30.01	0.000	1.543119	1.758763
landed	-.1779673	.069169	-2.57	0.010	-.3135432	-.0423914
_cons	-.5190558	.0708999	-7.32	0.000	-.6580244	-.3800873

x1						
prjewrelr	1.282667	.5674657	2.26	0.024	.1703968	2.394938
landed	-.9639061	.848208	-1.14	0.256	-2.62645	.6986379
_cons	.4572828	.7787761	0.59	0.557	-1.06917	1.983736

x2						
prjewrelr	1.273773	.3568723	3.57	0.000	.5742797	1.973266
landed	1.055411	.5016282	2.10	0.035	.0721864	2.038635
_cons	-1.404381	.5102029	-2.75	0.006	-2.404413	-.4043499

x3						
prjewrelr	1.615642	.1688466	9.57	0.000	1.284691	1.946592
landed	-.2303615	.2238755	-1.03	0.304	-.6691722	.2084492
_cons	-.7869753	.2204951	-3.57	0.000	-1.21916	-.3547904

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x3]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 0.05
 Prob > F = 0.8231

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x2]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 5.93
 Prob > F = 0.0149

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x1]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 0.85
 Prob > F = 0.3557

Table B11: Logistic regression of Being Jewish "Very Much" Involves Caring about Israel by FSU Connection

Number of strata = 1
 Number of PSUs = 23198
 Number of obs = 23198
 Population size = 68482.541
 Design df = 23197

	Coef.	Linearized Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

x0						
prbeingca~rr	1.978901	.0418622	47.27	0.000	1.896848	2.060954
landed	.8260832	.0482867	17.11	0.000	.7314381	.9207284
_cons	-1.360082	.0481349	-28.26	0.000	-1.454429	-1.265734

x1						
prbeingca~rr	1.163629	.4030899	2.89	0.004	.3735457	1.953712
landed	-.4839959	.5127334	-0.94	0.345	-1.488987	.5209957
_cons	.3220483	.5018516	0.64	0.521	-.6616141	1.305711

x2						
prbeingca~rr	1.710182	.2882589	5.93	0.000	1.145176	2.275189
landed	.7128717	.3302391	2.16	0.031	.0655811	1.360162
_cons	-1.037071	.3470398	-2.99	0.003	-1.717292	-.3568505

x3						
prbeingca~rr	2.205144	.1365732	16.15	0.000	1.937452	2.472837
landed	.7995872	.171692	4.66	0.000	.4630594	1.136115
_cons	-1.49953	.1724752	-8.69	0.000	-1.837593	-1.161467

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x3]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 0.02
 Prob > F = 0.8819

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x2]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 0.12
 Prob > F = 0.7345

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x1]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 6.47
 Prob > F = 0.0110

Table B12: Logistic regression of Being Jewish "Very Much" Involves Celebrating Jewish Holidays by FSU Connection

Number of strata = 1
 Number of PSUs = 23198
 Number of obs = 23198
 Population size = 68482.541
 Design df = 23197

	Coef.	Linearized Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

x0						
prbeingdaysr	2.025074	.0408344	49.59	0.000	1.945036	2.105113
landed	-.0396119	.0472655	-0.84	0.402	-.1322553	.0530315
_cons	-1.0508	.04823	-21.79	0.000	-1.145334	-.9562663

x1						
prbeingdaysr	1.545721	.4085619	3.78	0.000	.7449129	2.34653
landed	-1.177967	.553607	-2.13	0.033	-2.263074	-.092861
_cons	.3207231	.5423426	0.59	0.554	-.7423043	1.38375

x2						
prbeingdaysr	1.894211	.278728	6.80	0.000	1.347885	2.440536
landed	-.2743545	.3325646	-0.82	0.409	-.9262031	.3774942
_cons	-.6807781	.3266727	-2.08	0.037	-1.321078	-.0404779

x3						
prbeingdaysr	2.249494	.14166	15.88	0.000	1.971831	2.527157
landed	-.0956018	.1781192	-0.54	0.591	-.4447273	.2535237
_cons	-1.531404	.1770337	-8.65	0.000	-1.878402	-1.184406

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x3]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 0.09
 Prob > F = 0.7613

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x2]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 0.49
 Prob > F = 0.4847

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x1]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 4.20
 Prob > F = 0.0405

Table B13: Logistic regression of Being Jewish "Very Much" Involves Remembering the Holocaust by FSU Connection

Number of strata = 1
 Number of PSUs = 23198
 Number of obs = 23198
 Population size = 68482.541
 Design df = 23197

	Coef.	Linearized Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

x0						
prbeingholor	1.953297	.0437318	44.67	0.000	1.86758	2.039014
landed	.2789337	.0496987	5.61	0.000	.1815211	.3763464
_cons	-.6362747	.0517535	-12.29	0.000	-.737715	-.5348343

x1						
prbeingholor	2.177891	.5125795	4.25	0.000	1.173202	3.182581
landed	-.9813643	.7473873	-1.31	0.189	-2.446293	.4835644
_cons	.4281637	.6683557	0.64	0.522	-.8818577	1.738185

x2						
prbeingholor	1.620847	.3307166	4.90	0.000	.9726208	2.269074
landed	.9939315	.3573582	2.78	0.005	.2934858	1.694377
_cons	-.6454234	.3924569	-1.64	0.100	-1.414665	.1238181

x3						
prbeingholor	1.959272	.1420532	13.79	0.000	1.680838	2.237705
landed	.4744022	.1733705	2.74	0.006	.1345845	.8142198
_cons	-.832807	.1775579	-4.69	0.000	-1.180832	-.4847819

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x3]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 1.17
 Prob > F = 0.2785

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x2]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 3.93
 Prob > F = 0.0475

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x1]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 2.83
 Prob > F = 0.0925

Table B14: Logistic regression of Feeling "Very Much" Connected to Israel by FSU Connection

Number of strata = 1
 Number of PSUs = 23198
 Number of obs = 23198
 Population size = 68482.541
 Design df = 23197

		Linearized				[95% Conf. Interval]	
		Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t		
x0							
	prconisrr	2.50204	.062655	39.93	0.000	2.379232	2.624848
	landed	1.64152	.0560564	29.28	0.000	1.531646	1.751394
	_cons	-1.941097	.0541498	-35.85	0.000	-2.047234	-1.83496
x1							
	prconisrr	2.143708	.564772	3.80	0.000	1.036717	3.250698
	landed	.33782	.5607893	0.60	0.547	-.7613643	1.437004
	_cons	-.4200775	.546331	-0.77	0.442	-1.490922	.6507674
x2							
	prconisrr	3.148817	.449309	7.01	0.000	2.268142	4.029492
	landed	1.474183	.3551826	4.15	0.000	.7780019	2.170365
	_cons	-1.513157	.3296063	-4.59	0.000	-2.159207	-.8671065
x3							
	prconisrr	2.113209	.158456	13.34	0.000	1.802625	2.423793
	landed	1.152157	.178979	6.44	0.000	.8013468	1.502968
	_cons	-1.670437	.1751125	-9.54	0.000	-2.013669	-1.327205

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x3]landed = 0
 F(1, 23197) = 6.81
 Prob > F = 0.0091

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x2]landed = 0
 F(1, 23197) = 0.22
 Prob > F = 0.6417

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x1]landed = 0
 F(1, 23197) = 5.35
 Prob > F = 0.0207

Table B15: Logistic regression of Dating Someone Jewish Is Very Important by FSU Connection

Number of strata = 1
 Number of PSUs = 23198
 Number of obs = 23198
 Population size = 68482.541
 Design df = 23197

	Coef.	Linearized Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

x0						
primpdater	3.765755	.0610516	61.68	0.000	3.646089	3.88542
landed	.4100654	.0723577	5.67	0.000	.2682394	.5518913
_cons	-2.744807	.0715419	-38.37	0.000	-2.885034	-2.60458

x1						
primpdater	4.585817	.6943409	6.60	0.000	3.224862	5.946771
landed	-.6971104	.7963851	-0.88	0.381	-2.258078	.8638571
_cons	-1.825013	.7451564	-2.45	0.014	-3.285569	-.3644567

x2						
primpdater	3.239541	.3362152	9.64	0.000	2.580537	3.898545
landed	.2990885	.3901744	0.77	0.443	-.4656791	1.063856
_cons	-1.943452	.370988	-5.24	0.000	-2.670613	-1.216291

x3						
primpdater	3.225101	.1671513	19.29	0.000	2.897474	3.552729
landed	-.3331407	.2106048	-1.58	0.114	-.7459401	.0796586
_cons	-1.810487	.2016906	-8.98	0.000	-2.205814	-1.41516

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x3]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 11.14
 Prob > F = 0.0008

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x2]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 0.08
 Prob > F = 0.7797

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x1]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 1.92
 Prob > F = 0.1662

Table B16: Logistic regression of Raising Children Jewish Is Very Important by FSU Connection

Number of strata = 1
 Number of PSUs = 23198
 Number of obs = 23198
 Population size = 68482.541
 Design df = 23197

	Coef.	Linearized Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

x0						
primpkidjewr	3.5698	.0528628	67.53	0.000	3.466186	3.673415
landed	.6745459	.0604456	11.16	0.000	.5560684	.7930233
_cons	-1.86173	.0612135	-30.41	0.000	-1.981713	-1.741748

x1						
primpkidjewr	2.852345	.457614	6.23	0.000	1.955391	3.749299
landed	.6674728	.5167678	1.29	0.196	-.3454264	1.680372
_cons	-1.533139	.5038309	-3.04	0.002	-2.520681	-.5455968

x2						
primpkidjewr	2.828068	.361325	7.83	0.000	2.119847	3.536289
landed	1.104188	.3918889	2.82	0.005	.3360598	1.872316
_cons	-1.642233	.4337702	-3.79	0.000	-2.492451	-.7920145

x3						
primpkidjewr	3.31255	.1592026	20.81	0.000	3.000502	3.624598
landed	.2121401	.1978025	1.07	0.284	-.1755659	.5998461
_cons	-1.628883	.1990662	-8.18	0.000	-2.019066	-1.2387

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x3]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 5.00
 Prob > F = 0.0254

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x2]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 1.17
 Prob > F = 0.2786

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0]landed - [x1]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 0.00
 Prob > F = 0.9892

Differential Impact of the Trip Experience on Jewish Engagement

Table B17: Logistic regression of Lit/Participated in Lighting Shabbat Candles Usually or Always in the Past Month by FSU Connection

Number of strata = 1
 Number of PSUs = 23198
 Number of obs = 23198
 Population size = 68482.541
 Design df = 23197

	Coef.	Linearized Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

x0_poshabc~r						
prshabcndlr	3.093069	.0594541	52.02	0.000	2.976535	3.209603
landed	.2924239	.0712616	4.10	0.000	.1527465	.4321013
_cons	-2.866672	.0711641	-40.28	0.000	-3.006159	-2.727186

x1_poshabc~r						
prshabcndlr	3.450656	.7274475	4.74	0.000	2.024811	4.876501
landed	-1.866808	.7884994	-2.37	0.018	-3.412319	-.3212971
_cons	-1.236504	.6520837	-1.90	0.058	-2.514631	.0416232

x2_poshabc~r						
prshabcndlr	3.146018	.399113	7.88	0.000	2.36373	3.928306
landed	.7385544	.4895279	1.51	0.131	-.2209528	1.698061
_cons	-3.046068	.4902109	-6.21	0.000	-4.006914	-2.085222

x3_poshabc~r						
prshabcndlr	3.383118	.2103381	16.08	0.000	2.970841	3.795394
landed	-.0772382	.2494472	-0.31	0.757	-.5661712	.4116948
_cons	-2.950747	.2247524	-13.13	0.000	-3.391277	-2.510218

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0_poshabcndlr]landed - [x3_poshabcndlr]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 2.03
 Prob > F = 0.1542

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0_poshabcndlr]landed - [x2_poshabcndlr]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 0.81
 Prob > F = 0.3671

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0_poshabcndlr]landed - [x1_poshabcndlr]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 7.44
 Prob > F = 0.0064

Table B18: Logistic regression of Actively Sought News about Israel Once a Week or More in the Past Month by FSU Connection

Number of strata = 1
 Number of PSUs = 23198
 Number of obs = 23198
 Population size = 68482.541
 Design df = 23197

	Coef.	Linearized Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
x0_binponews						
binprnews	1.808427	.0419377	43.12	0.000	1.726226	1.890628
landed	.9539946	.0554807	17.20	0.000	.8452488	1.06274
_cons	-2.320377	.0554116	-41.88	0.000	-2.428988	-2.211767
x1_binponews						
binprnews	2.18636	.4417696	4.95	0.000	1.320462	3.052258
landed	.0990155	.5297624	0.19	0.852	-.9393539	1.137385
_cons	-1.480776	.5572283	-2.66	0.008	-2.57298	-.3885716
x2_binponews						
binprnews	1.592116	.2743394	5.80	0.000	1.054392	2.129839
landed	.711688	.3479646	2.05	0.041	.0296544	1.393722
_cons	-1.947342	.3489159	-5.58	0.000	-2.63124	-1.263443
x3_binponews						
binprnews	1.815938	.1340671	13.54	0.000	1.553158	2.078718
landed	.4466493	.1746248	2.56	0.011	.1043731	.7889255
_cons	-1.962413	.1756512	-11.17	0.000	-2.306701	-1.618125

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0_binponews]landed - [x3_binponews]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 7.67
 Prob > F = 0.0056

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0_binponews]landed - [x2_binponews]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 0.47
 Prob > F = 0.4917

Adjusted Wald test

(1) [x0_binponews]landed - [x1_binponews]landed = 0

F(1, 23197) = 2.58
 Prob > F = 0.1085